

Sample Ballot

STATE MEASURES

INITIATIVE MEASURE NO. 920
Initiative Measure No. 920 concerns estate tax.

This measure would repeal Washington's state laws imposing tax, currently dedicated for the education legacy trust fund, on transfers of estates of persons dying on or after the effective date of this measure.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

YES ←

NO ←

INITIATIVE MEASURE NO. 933
Initiative Measure No. 933 concerns government regulation of private property.

This measure would require compensation when government regulation damages the use or value of private property, would forbid regulations that prohibit existing legal uses of private property, and would provide exceptions or payments.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

YES ←

NO ←

INITIATIVE MEASURE NO. 937
Initiative Measure No. 937 concerns energy resource use by certain electric utilities.

This measure would require certain electric utilities with 25,000 or more customers to meet certain targets for energy conservation and use of renewable energy resources, as defined, including energy credits, or pay penalties.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

YES ←

NO ←

**PROPOSED TO THE PEOPLE BY
THE LEGISLATURE
AMENDMENT TO THE STATE
CONSTITUTION
HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 4223**

The legislature has proposed a constitutional amendment on increasing an exemption from the personal property tax.

This amendment would authorize the legislature to increase the personal property tax exemption for taxable personal property owned by each "head of a family" from three thousand (\$3,000) to fifteen thousand (\$15,000) dollars.

Should this constitutional amendment be:

APPROVED ←

REJECTED ←

FEDERAL

UNITED STATES SENATOR

6 YEAR TERM Vote For One

MARIA CANTWELL D ←

MIKE McGAVICK R ←

BRUCE GUTHRIE L ←

ROBIN ADAIR I ←

AARON DIXON G ←

(Write-In) ←

U.S. REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 2

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

RICK LARSEN D ←

DOUG ROULSTONE R ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE LEGISLATIVE

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 39 POSITION NO. 1

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

SCOTT OLSON D ←

DAN KRISTIANSEN R ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 39 POSITION NO. 2

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

KIRK PEARSON R ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE LEGISLATIVE

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 40 POSITION NO. 1

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

DAVE QUALL D ←

YOSHE REVELLE R ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 40 POSITION NO. 2

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

JEFF MORRIS D ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE LEGISLATIVE

STATE SENATOR DISTRICT NO. 42

4 YEAR TERM Vote For One

JESSE SALOMON D ←

DALE E. BRANDLAND R ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 42 POSITION NO. 1

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

JASPER MACSLARROW D ←

DOUG ERICKSEN R ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT NO. 42 POSITION NO. 2

2 YEAR TERM Vote For One

KELLI LINVILLE D ←

CRAIG MAYBERRY R ←

(Write-In) ←

WHATCOM COUNTY

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

4 YEAR TERM Vote For One

DAVID S. MCEACHRAN R ←

(Write-In) ←

PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1

COMMISSIONER DISTRICT NO. 2

6 YEAR TERM Vote For One

PAUL D. KENNER NP ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE JUDICIAL

STATE SUPREME COURT JUSTICE POSITION NO. 2

6 YEAR TERM Vote For One

SUSAN OWENS NP ←

STEPHEN JOHNSON NP ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE SUPREME COURT JUSTICE POSITION NO. 8

6 YEAR TERM Vote For One

GERRY L. ALEXANDER NP ←

(Write-In) ←

STATE SUPREME COURT JUSTICE POSITION NO. 9

6 YEAR TERM Vote For One

TOM CHAMBERS NP ←

(Write-In) ←

COURT OF APPEALS DIV 1 DISTRICT NO. 3 POSITION NO. 1

6 YEAR TERM Vote For One

MARY KAY BECKER NP ←

(Write-In) ←

WHATCOM COUNTY JUDICIAL

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE POSITION NO. 1

SHORT & FULL 4 YEAR TERM Vote For One

DAVID GRANT NP ←

(Write-In) ←

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE POSITION NO. 2

4 YEAR TERM Vote For One

MATTHEW S. ELICH NP ←

(Write-In) ←

Sample Ballot

SAMPLE BALLOT WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON GENERAL ELECTION NOVEMBER 7, 2006



TO VOTE: Use either pencil, blue or black pen.
CONNECT THE HEAD AND TAIL of the arrow that points to your choice.

TO CHANGE A VOTE: Do not erase, but request a replacement ballot.

TO WRITE-IN: A name not on the ballot, connect the arrow and write in the name of the candidate in the space provided.

NOT ALL DISTRICTS ON THIS SAMPLE WILL APPEAR ON YOUR BALLOT. ONLY THOSE DISTRICTS THAT YOU'RE ENTITLED TO VOTE IN WILL APPEAR.

CITY OF BELLINGHAM

CITY OF BELLINGHAM CHARTER AMENDMENTS RELATED TO SELECTION AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS OF THE FINANCE DIRECTOR
By Bellingham City Council

The Bellingham City Council has proposed charter amendments concerning selection of the Finance Director. If approved, section 8.05 would require the Finance Director to be appointed or removed by the Mayor with Council approval. The Finance Director would be appointed based on professional qualifications with special reference to knowledge and experience in municipal finance. Approval of this measure deletes Finance Director as an elected officer in Section 2.01 and deletes reference to the Finance Director's term of office in Section 2.02. This change would be effective January 1, 2008.

Should these amendments be approved?

YES ←

NO ←

CITY OF LYNDEN

**PROPOSITION NO. 1
CITY OF LYNDEN, WASHINGTON
NEW CITY HALL
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS - \$3,900,000**

The City Council of the City of Lynden adopted Resolution No. 747 concerning a proposition for bonds. This proposition authorizes the City to acquire, construct and equip a new City Hall, to issue \$3,900,000 of general obligation bonds maturing within a maximum term of 30 years to finance such improvements, and to levy property taxes annually in excess of regular property tax levies to repay such bonds, all as provided in Resolution No. 747. Should this proposition be:

APPROVED ←

REJECTED ←

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 3

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 3 WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON
Proposition No. 1
MERGER OF WHATCOM COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 3 INTO WHATCOM COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 13

The Board of Fire Commissioners of Fire Protection District No. 3, Whatcom County, Washington, adopted Resolution No. 2006-02 concerning the merger of Fire District No. 3 into Fire District No. 13. This proposition would merge Fire Protection District No. 3 into Whatcom County Fire Protection District No. 13 creating one fire protection district to provide fire and emergency medical services for the areas currently served by the two districts. Should this proposal be:

APPROVED ←

REJECTED ←

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 3 WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON
Proposition No. 2
RESTORING REGULAR PROPERTY TAX LEVY

The Board of Fire Commissioners of Fire Protection District No. 3, Whatcom County, Washington, adopted Resolution No. 2006-03 concerning a regular property tax levy of \$1.50 per \$1,000. This proposition would authorize the District to set and maintain its regular property tax levy of \$1.50 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation for 2007 and thereafter pursuant to RCW 84.55.050 and subject to any otherwise applicable statutory dollar rate limitations. Should this proposal be:

APPROVED ←

REJECTED ←

WATER DISTRICT NO. 13

WHATCOM COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 13

Shall the Board of Commissioners of Whatcom County Water District #13 be increased from three to five members?

YES ←

NO ←

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 13

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 13 WHATCOM COUNTY, WASHINGTON
Proposition No. 1
RESTORING REGULAR PROPERTY TAX LEVY

The Board of Fire Commissioners of Fire Protection District No. 13, Whatcom County, Washington, adopted Resolution No. 2006-04 concerning a regular property tax levy of \$1.50 per \$1,000. This proposition would authorize the District to set and maintain its regular property tax levy of \$1.50 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation for 2007 and thereafter pursuant to RCW 84.55.050 and subject to any otherwise applicable statutory dollar rate limitations. Should this proposal be:

APPROVED ←

REJECTED ←

FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 18

**PROPOSITION NO. 1
WHATCOM COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT NO. 18 - BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS - PROPOSITION AUTHORIZING INCREASE OF EXISTING PROPERTY TAX LEVIES**

The proposition will authorize the District Board of Commissioners to increase its regular property tax levy to an amount not to exceed \$1.25 per \$1,000.00 of assessed valuation. (This shall not authorize an excess levy and shall be subject to other statutory limits.) The additional revenue is necessary to establish a "duty program" to provide 24 hour 7 day per week coverage to provide improved response service.

Should this Proposition be enacted into law?

YES ←

NO ←